

Clean Water SRF Side-By-Side Comparison- Fiscal Year 2010 Enacted vs. Proposed Levels in H.R. 1

<i>State</i>	<i>Fiscal Year 2010 Enacted</i>	<i>H.R. 1 Proposed</i>	<i>2008 EPA Needs Survey</i>	<i>FY 2011 IUP Projects Awaiting Funding</i>
Alabama	\$23,188,869	\$7,585,900	\$4,425,000,000	\$49,500,000/ 11 projects
Alaska	\$12,411,607	\$4,013,800	N/A	\$47,186,687/21 projects
Arizona	\$14,006,730	\$4,542,500	\$5,229,000,000	\$126,670,942/12 projects
Arkansas	\$13,565,937	\$4,396,400	\$470,000,000	\$136,000,000/ 3 projects
California	\$148,316,864	\$48,667,707	\$29,910,000,000	No FY11 developed. FY10 \$2.3 billion
Colorado	\$16,588,434	\$5,398,200	\$1,472,000,000	\$2,473,352,099/533 projects
Connecticut	\$25,405,206	\$8,320,500	\$3,752,000,000	\$198,000,000/ 28 projects
Delaware	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$222,000,000	N/A
District of Columbia	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$2,545,000,000	N/A
Florida	\$70,001,669	\$22,969,881	\$19,567,000,000	\$260,681,935/79 projects
Georgia	\$35,063,137	\$11,505,384	\$89,000,000	Preliminary: \$81,988,494/6 projects
Hawaii	\$16,061,352	\$5,223,500	\$1,757,000,000	\$53,800,000/ 8 projects
Idaho	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$1,377,000,000	\$122,862,064
Illinois	\$93,790,633	\$30,775,833	\$17,503,000,000	\$1,900,000,000/359 projects
Indiana	\$49,978,306	\$16,399,548	\$7,120,000	\$1,333,927,697/ 108 projects
Iowa	\$28,066,862	\$9,202,700	\$3,429,000,000	\$574,055,398/165 projects
Kansas	\$18,718,784	\$6,104,300	\$3,246,000,000	\$65,000,000/32 projects
Kentucky	\$26,393,597	\$8,648,100	\$2,117,000,000	\$476,777,237/145 projects
Louisiana	\$22,797,254	\$7,456,100	\$4,032,000,000	\$1,652,868,147/202 projects
Maine	\$16,053,206	\$5,220,800	\$1,031,000,000	N/A
Maryland	\$50,156,614	\$16,458,057	\$8,470,000,000	\$808,000,000/165 projects
Massachusetts	\$70,409,576	\$23,103,729	\$7,951,000,000	\$276,000,000/53 projects
Michigan	\$89,168,789	\$29,259,252	\$3,715,000,000	\$548,000,000/97 projects
Minnesota	\$38,116,106	\$12,507,165	\$4,110,000,000	\$400,000,000/134 projects
Mississippi	\$18,683,786	\$6,092,700	\$1,417,000,000	\$36,800,000/33 projects
Missouri	\$57,488,085	\$18,863,757	\$5,750,000,000	\$716,516,795/ 106 projects
Montana	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$587,000,000	\$262,000,000/126 projects
Nebraska	\$10,607,100	\$3,415,700	\$3,222,000,000	\$78,189,190/47 projects
Nevada	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$1,249,000,000	\$410,000,000/59 projects
New Hampshire	\$20,724,228	\$6,769,000	\$1,249,000,000	\$206,000,000/86 projects
New Jersey	\$84,743,960	\$27,807,318	\$32,508,000,000	\$602,000,000/87 projects
New Mexico	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$103,000,000	N/A
New York	\$228,897,876	\$75,109,023	\$29,715,000,000	\$6,900,000,000/676 projects
North Carolina	\$37,427,310	\$12,281,148	\$6,551,000,000	N/A
North Dakota	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	N/A	\$126,000,000/63 projects (draft numbers)

Ohio	\$116,746,886	\$38,308,545	\$14,221,000,000	N/A
Oklahoma	\$16,754,372	\$5,453,200	\$1,298,000,000	\$157,000,000/31 projects
Oregon	\$23,426,614	\$7,664,700	\$3,778,000,000	\$487,047,846/ 138 projects
Pennsylvania	\$82,146,266	\$26,954,928	\$17,939,000,000	N/A
Rhode Island	\$13,924,666	\$4,515,300	N/A	\$1,265,182,599/ 41 projects
South Carolina	\$21,244,974	\$6,941,600	\$566,000,000	\$146,319,387/27 projects (FY10 IUP)
South Dakota	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$106,000,000	\$77,900,000/ 49 projects
Tennessee	\$30,125,406	\$9,885,000	\$1,364,000,000	N/A
Texas	\$94,784,153	\$31,101,840	\$11,539,000,000	\$1,651,115,000/236 projects
Utah	\$10,926,607	\$3,521,600	\$2,939,000,000	N/A
Vermont	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$218,000,000	\$54,200,000/22 projects
Virginia	\$42,440,769	\$13,926,231	\$6,848,000,000	\$173,183,129/ 34 projects
Washington	\$36,063,596	\$11,833,668	\$5,262,000,000	N/A
West Virginia	\$32,327,865	\$10,607,850	\$3,014,000,000	\$284,352,000/52 projects
Wisconsin	\$56,064,030	\$18,396,477	\$6,361,000,000	\$1,100,516,231/251 projects
Wyoming	\$10,180,487	\$3,274,300	\$156,000,000	\$56,977,908
Totals	\$2,015,612,947	\$659,951,941	\$284,386,120,000	