**Figure 1. FY2010 Corps Annual Appropriations**

$5.4 Billion Breakout by Business Line in Color and Budget Account in Grey ($ millions in bold)

*Source: CRS presentation of Corps data.*

*Notes: Top pie chart is for all Corps FY2010 appropriations broken out by business line; bottom pie chart breaks down the same funds by Corps budget account. This figure does not include ARRA funds.*
accompanying the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee-reported version of H.R. 5892, included a statement of "minority views" that cited numerous reasons, including economic conditions, for not supporting the bill at the time. Additionally, the decline in congressionally directed spending of specific Corps activities may contribute to more authorized projects and studies being deauthorized under established deauthorization procedures; many activities authorized in WRDA 2007 (P.L. 110-114) have yet to receive funding.

How Do FY2010 and FY2011 Corps Appropriations Compare?

Overall, the Corps received less funding in FY2011 than in FY2010; funding decreased for most of the agency's business lines. Figure 4 provides a comparison of enacted Corps appropriations by business line for FY2010 and FY2011. Coastal Flood Damage Reduction was the only business line to increase in FY2011.

**Figure 4. Corps Annual Appropriation FY2010 and FY2011**
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Source: Data from Corps Business Line/Account Cross-Walk for FY2010 and FY2011 enacted appropriations, provided to CRS in February 2010 and August 2011.

(...continued)

XXI for the 112th Congress are similarly worded. The Senate Rule XLIV paragraph 5 similarly defines a "congressionally directed spending item" to include some authorizing provisions; for the full definition, see http://rules.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?p=RuleXLIV.

For more on deauthorization processes, see "How Are Corps Activities Deauthorized?"
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